Water Quality in Calvert County Streams

Dave Brownlee, PhD, AICP Steve Kullen, & Rachel Husted

Patuxent River Conference June 18, 2015

Acknowledgements

•Cove Point Natural Heritage Trust

Volunteers, Staff

•Board of County Commissioners, Calvert County





Prepared by the Calvert County Commany Plance g as d Building Department for illustrative perposes, February, 2014

Water Quality Monitoring on a Shoe String Budget

• Approach

- Quarterly sample in each 12-digit watershed
- Looking for "Hot Spots" and "Reference Sites"
- Compare water quality results to county water quality goals
- Sample for Nitrogen (NH4 and NO23), Phosphorous (PO4) and Total Suspended Solids (TSS)
- Currently measuring loadings



Water Quality Monitoring

Methodology

- Use County Staff and Volunteers
- Fill out data collection forms
- Simple but clean collection fill prepared bottles with sample water after rinsing
- Place labeled bottles on ice and deliver to Chesapeake Biological Laboratory

 Chesapeake Biological Laboratory does all filtering and analysis

Calvert County 12-Digit Watersheds





Reference Sites

 The Gray's Creek site in the Calvert Cliffs Park has had excellent water quality at every sampling time and thus is an excellent reference site.



Gray's Creek Calvert Cliff State Park





Gray's Creek, Calvert Cliff State Park



Gray's Creek 2 (CCP) PO4 (mg/l)



Fishing Creek





Fishing Creek





St. Leonard's Creek





13

St. Leonard Creek



Sites with High Nutrient Levels

 These sites have nitrogen or nitrogen and phosphorus levels above the County goal for these nutrients in most instances.



Gray's Creek Chesapeake Ranch Estates





Gray's Creek, Chesapeake Ranch Estates



Calvert Beach Run





Calvert Beach Run, St. Leonard





Percent Land Use



Ramsey Creek





20

"Ramsey Creek, Prince Frederick



Little Lyons Creek





22



Plum Point Creek





Plum Point Creek

Plum Point Creek NO23 (mg N/l)







Percent Land Use

King's Branch





26



King's Branch Unnamed Tributary (Smithville 7A)







King's Branch Unnamed Tributary (Smithville 7B)





Smithville 7B

Smithville Creek 7B NO23 (mg/l)









Hall Creek





32

Hall's Creek







Hall Creek





34



Lyons's Creek







"Mean for All Stations



Mean NO23 (mg N/l)



Trends in Nitrogen (NO23)

- NO23 increases with increase in residential or agricultural land
- If Forests has a land use coverage of over 50%, then the NO23 is low
- 2010-2015 trend of increasing nitrogen concentrations



Nitrogen Trends



Nitrogen Trends



Nitrogen Trends



40

Trends in Phosphate (PO4)

- Increases with percent residential or agricultural land
- Highest PO4 located in north half of County
- Not as consistently high as nitrogen
- If Forests has a land use coverage of over 50%, then the NO23 is low

 2010-2015 slight trend of increasing phosphorus concentrations









0.07 0.06 4 0.05 0.04 Mean PO4 (mg/l) 0.03 0.02 0.01 0 40 50 0 10 20 30 60 70 80 90 Percent Forest Land Use

PO4 vs % Forest Land Use for individual Stations





Water Quality Monitoring

Measuring Loading Rates

- County purchased Hack Flow meter in 2012 with CPNHT support.
- Has measured loading rates between 2013 and April 2015.



Measuring Discharge





		Nitrogen	
From October 2012- April 2014 Time Period	Average	Loadings	
	Nitrogen	Projected	Percent
	Loading	from Land	Actual of
	(lbs/yr)	Use Model	Projected
Buzzard Island Creek 1	109.64	7,737.73	1.42%
Calvert Beach Run 1+2	774.43	9,599.47	8.07%
Cocktown Creek 1	5,683.88	37,699.63	15.08%
Fishing Creek 1	181.13	106,801.00	0.17%
Graham Creek 1	2,993.38	6,519.40	45.91%
Gray's Creek 1 (CRE)	711.92	6,548.59	10.87%
Hall Creek 1	18,528.44	17,462.82	106.10%
Hunting Creek 1	8,260.25	153,851.00	5.37%
Hunting Creek 2	1,203.11	32,256.00	3.73%
Island Creek 1	1,204.71	5,410.11	22.27%
King's Branch 1	2,802.19	7,634.70	36.70%
Mill Creek 1	9,598.58	75,215.28	12.76%
North Battle Creek 1	2,868.38	48,131.81	5.96%
Plum Point Creek 1	1,123.41	76,373.00	1.47%
Ramsey Creek 2	970.78	3,954.92	24.55%
St. Leonard Creek 1	3,102.10	43,863.93	7.07%
St. Leonard Creek 2	1,137.45	17,909.64	6.35%
Tyverne Creek 1	152.60	2,176.65	7.01%
			17.83%











Summary

- These baseline non-tidal water quality data can be used to measure the effectiveness of the Calvert WIP.
- These data can also identify problem areas where the County needs to direct clean-up efforts (BMPs)
- The longer the data series, the more useful for estimating impacts and trends.

